

Message

From: Kenneth Portier [kenneth.portier@gmail.com]
Sent: 7/21/2020 1:13:08 AM
To: Wong, Diana [Wong.Diana-M@epa.gov]
Subject: Asbestos Report revisions.
Attachments: SACC_Asbestos report 7-14-20_KP_finalrevisions.docx

Diana,

Attached are my final revisions. What remains to be done...

1. I did not check References for proper citation format.
2. I did not renumber recommendations because in a number of places I ask for a new recommendation and in other places I ask that a recommendation be deleted - typically because it duplicates one previously stated.

A major issue I ran into as I was reviewing the Editorial Comments is that I have been quoting page and line numbers out of the document "1_Draft_Risk_Evaluation_for_Asbestos_int.pdf", but Dr. Crump is quoting line numbers out of the document "1_draft_risk_evaluation_for_asbestos_pub.pdf". I did not realize that these two documents have different line numbers and one document is one page longer than the other. You will have to decide which document is the DRE we are referencing. I made a comment to you on page 100 where I stopped editing the line numbers. We also need to make sure the Committee knows which document we are using as the reference DRE so they can ensure my insertion of page/line numbers are correct. Sorry for this confusion.

Please ask the Question leads to read carefully my comments for their questions. I am hoping they will take the comments seriously and make some changes that result in a major reduction of duplication. I am an optimist.

I am providing you with my final track changes document. Typically the DFO accepts the track changes but keeps the comments and calls this the "clean with comments" version. The Committee members get both the "ugly with all KP's track changes" version and the "clean with KP's comments" version. They should send you back the "clean with KP's comments" version with their comments and track changes.

I hope all this is clear to you. If not, just call me on my cell tomorrow and I can walk you through it.

Note that now the PERC report is in crisis mode and I have to spend the next three days getting this into a near-final version so I will have no time to spend on the Asbestos report till next week.

Ken Portier